

This paper is a continuation of the author's article "One-dimensional automaton networks with monotonic local interaction." The author determines the values that the left and right rates $L_{a,b}$, $R_{a,b}$ ($0 \leq a, b \leq n$) can assume, and also gives a way of computing them with a complexity corresponding to reality.

1. INTRODUCTION: FORMULATION OF BASIC THEOREMS

Paper [1] investigated the behavior of one-dimensional automaton networks with $n + 1$ states whose operation is described by a monotonic deterministic operator P . In this paper we will adhere to all the definitions introduced in [1]. The aim of this paper is to determine the values that the left and right rates $L_{a,b}$ and $R_{a,b}$ ($0 \leq a, b \leq n$) can assume, and to give a way of computing them and an estimate for the requisite number of operations involved in doing so.

It follows from [1] that all rates $L_{a,b}$, $R_{a,b}$ are equal to certain rates $v_{a',b'}$, where (a', b') are coupled pairs. The number $v_{a,b}$ is always rational, since there exists a ladder f of type (a, b) for which

$$P^q f = S^p f, \quad (1)$$

where S^1 is the shift-by-1 operator and S^p is the p -th degree of this operator. Number q will be called the period for ladder f .

If, however, (a, b) is not a coupled pair, then [1] implies that there exist left and right rates $L_{a,b}$ and $R_{a,b}$ that are also rational numbers, where $L_{a,b} = v_{a,c}$, $R_{a,b} = v_{d,b}$ for some c and d [a, c, d, b is a monotonic sequence, while (a, c) and (d, b) are coupled pairs]. Therefore to determine which fractions can be used to express the numbers $L_{a,b}$ and $R_{a,b}$, it suffices to consider the case in which (a, b) is a coupled pair and to determine possible values of $v_{a,b}$.

Without loss of generality, we will assume that $a = 0$, $b = n$; pair $(0, n)$ is coupled. The basic results of this paper are Theorems 1 and 2 that follow.

THEOREM 1. The number $v_{0,n}$ can be expressed by a fraction whose denominator does not exceed n (if $v_{0,n} = p/q < 0$, we assume that $p < 0$, $q > 0$).

THEOREM 2. There exists a way of computing $v_{0,n}$ that contains $\times D^2 n^4$ operations (D being the diameter of a neighborhood of zero), one-shot computation of the function φ being regarded as one action.*

*The symbol \times means "to within a multiplicative constant."

1. R-Ladders

For what follows, it will be necessary to extend the action of operator P to the entire straight line R. For this we incorporate lattice Z into R as a subset.

We will restrict ourselves to the action of P on ladders. We introduce the notion of an R-ladder.

Definition 1. a) We will say that an R-ladder is specified on R if $f: R \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ is a monotonic function that is not a constant. Of course, any such function is piecewise-constant.

b) R-ladder f will be called an R-ladder of type (a, b) if $\inf_{x \in R} f(x) = a, \sup_{x \in R} f(x) = b$, while numbers a and b will be called the lower and upper levels of the ladder.

Definition b) is similar for $a > b$.

c) For R-ladder f we write

$$\begin{aligned} x_l(f) &= \sup \{x \in R: f(x) = a\}, \\ x_r(f) &= \inf \{x \in R: f(x) = b\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and the number $d(f) = x_r(f) - x_l(f)$ will be called the length of ladder f. Obviously, $d(f) \geq 0$.

d) R-ladder f will be called an R-jump if $d(f) = 0$.

Definition 2. Operator $\mathcal{P} = P_R$ that acts on the set of all R-ladders and carries this set into itself is defined by the same natural number r and the same function $\varphi: \{0, 1, \dots, n\}^{2R+1} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ as operator P is; if f is an R-ladder, then for all $x \in R$ we assume that

$$(P_R f)(x) = \varphi(f(x-r), \dots, f(x+r)); \tag{3}$$

as for operator P, $\varphi(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ (clearly, \mathcal{P} is a monotonic operator).

It is easy to see that R-ladders considered only on Z yield ladders in the earlier sense. We will now call them Z-ladders (Z-ladders are acted on by operator P - the restriction onto Z of operator \mathcal{P}).

Definition 3. Assume that f is a Z-ladder. We will denote by the same letter f the R-ladder defined as follows: If for Z-ladder f for some $k \in Z$ we have $f(k) = f(k+1) = \dots = f(k+l) = m, f(k-1) \neq m, f(k+l+1) \neq m$, then for R-ladder f we have

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} m & \text{for } k-1 \leq x < k+l+1, \quad k, l \in Z, \\ \neq m & \text{for } x < k-1 \quad \text{and for } x \geq k+l+1. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Here the points of discontinuity for the resultant R-ladder f are integer-valued. Any R-ladder for which all discontinuity points are integer-valued will be called an integer-valued ladder.

Definition 4. Segment $K \subset R$ (finite), for all of whose points x we have $f(x) = a$ and for $x \notin K, f(x) \neq a$, will be called a file of level a of R-ladder f, while number $f(x)$ will be called the level of the point $x \in R$.

Remark 1. In what follows we will consider natural exponents of operator \mathcal{P} and arbitrary real exponents of shift-by-1 operator S^1 .

Remark 2. R-ladders f_1 and f_2 will be regarded as equivalent if they coincide to within shift; i.e., $f_2 = S^\alpha f_1$ for some $\alpha \in R$ (thus the file lengths of one level are the same for these ladders). The set of all R-ladders can be partitioned into equivalence classes $\{f\}$: Each class (f) is uniquely defined by any representative of it f.

2. Metric Space (R_+^{n-1}, ρ)

Everywhere in what follows, we will consider R-ladders of type (0, n), and this will not be stipulated specially.

Consider an arbitrary R-ladder f, and assume that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ are its discontinuity points. The numbers $\Delta_1 = x_2 - x_1, \Delta_2 = x_3 - x_2, \dots, \Delta_{n-1} = x_n - x_{n-1}$; i.e., the lengths of files of f are uniquely defined by the equivalence class (f) to which ladder f belongs (unlike the numbers x_1, \dots, x_n that depend on the specific representative f). Since $\Delta_i \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, the vector $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_{n-1}) \in R_+^{n-1}$ lies in a closed positive octant of space R_+^{n-1} . A closed positive octant will be denoted by R_+^{n-1} .

Thus there is a one-to-one correspondence between classes $\{f\}$ of equivalent R-ladders and points of octant R_+^{n-1} : Point $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n-1}) \in R_+^{n-1}$ corresponds to class $\{f\}$ of R-ladders whose file lengths are $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n-1}$.

Consider two equivalence classes $\{f\}$ and $\{f'\}$. Obviously, there exist two R-ladders $f \in \{f\}$, $f' \in \{f'\}$ such that the inequality

$$S^{n_1} f \geq f' \geq S^{n_2} f \quad (5)$$

holds for them for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in R$. From among all numbers $\{\lambda_1\}$ for which inequality (5) holds, we take the largest one and denote it by $\lambda_1^{(0)}$, while from among all numbers $\{\lambda_2\}$ for which (5) holds, we take the smallest one and denote it by $\lambda_2^{(0)}$ ($\lambda_2^{(0)} \geq \lambda_1^{(0)}$). Assume that classes $\{f\}$ and $\{f'\}$ correspond to vectors Δ and Δ' of octant R_+^{n-1} .

Definition 5. The distance between vectors Δ and $\Delta' \in R_+^{n-1}$ is the number

$$\rho(\Delta, \Delta') = \lambda_2^{(0)} - \lambda_1^{(0)}. \quad (6)$$

We will demonstrate the correctness of Definition 5; i.e., we will show that function ρ satisfies all the properties of distance. Assume that x_1', \dots, x_n' are discontinuity points of function f' , while x_1, \dots, x_n are discontinuity points of $S\lambda_1^{(0)} f$. Then it is clear that

$$\rho(\Delta, \Delta') = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (x_i' - x_i) - \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (x_j' - x_j).$$

Let us express $\rho(\Delta, \Delta')$ in terms of the coordinates of vectors Δ and Δ' . Since $x_i' = x_i' + \Delta_1' + \Delta_2' + \dots + \Delta_i'$, $x_i = x_i + \Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\Delta, \Delta') &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left[(x_i' - x_i) + \sum_{k=1}^i (\Delta_k' - \Delta_k) \right] - \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left[(x_j' - x_j) + \sum_{s=1}^j (\Delta_s' - \Delta_s) \right] \\ &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left[\sum_{k=1}^i (\Delta_k' - \Delta_k) \right] - \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left[\sum_{s=1}^j (\Delta_s' - \Delta_s) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We write $\delta_k = \Delta_k' - \Delta_k$; then

$$\rho(\Delta, \Delta') = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_i) - \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_j) = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |\delta_{\min(i,j)+1} + \delta_{\min(i,j)+2} + \dots + \delta_{\max(i,j)}|. \quad (7)$$

We can see that the right side of (7) satisfies all the properties of distance; thus Definition 5 is correct.

Formula (7) also yields that the following lemma is valid.

LEMMA 1. Pair (R_+^{n-1}, ρ) , where function ρ is defined by formula (6) [or the equivalent formula (7)], forms a metric space.

Remark 3. The null vector corresponds to a jump of type $(0, n)$.

Remark 4. The length of vector $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n-1})$ is the quantity $|\Delta| = \rho(\Delta, 0) = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \dots + \Delta_{n-1}$.

3. Lipschitz Condition

Operator \mathcal{P} acting on the set of R-ladders induces operator \mathcal{P} acting in metric space (R_+^{n-1}, ρ) .

LEMMA 2. Mapping \mathcal{P} satisfies a Lipschitz condition with constant 1.

Proof. We must prove that for any two vectors $\Delta, \Delta' \in R_+^{n-1}$ we have the inequality

$$\rho(\mathcal{P}\Delta, \mathcal{P}\Delta') \leq \rho(\Delta, \Delta'). \quad (8)$$

Assume that $\{f\}$ and $\{f'\}$ are two different equivalence classes corresponding to vectors Δ and Δ' . We choose representatives of these classes $f \in \{f\}$, $f' \in \{f'\}$ such that the coordinate of the leftmost point of discontinuity of f is equal to 0 $\in R$ and $f \geq f'$. Then Definition 5 yields that if $f \geq f' \geq S^A f$, we have

$$A \geq \rho(\Delta, \Delta'). \quad (9)$$

Let $\rho(\Delta, \Delta') = \alpha$; then $f \leq f' \leq S^\alpha f$, and in view of the fact that operator \mathcal{P} is monotonic and uniform,

$$\mathcal{P}f \geq \mathcal{P}f' \geq S^\alpha \mathcal{P}f.$$

Inequality (9) and this last expression yield that

$$\alpha \geq \rho(\mathcal{P}\Delta, \mathcal{P}\Delta').$$

The lemma has thus been proved.

Remark 5. Operator \mathcal{P} . is continuous, since it satisfies a Lipschitz condition.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

Assume that f is an \mathbb{R} -ladder of type $(0, n)$ and $d(f) = N$, where N is a number that exceeds the coupling constant for f . Then there exists a natural number T such that

$$d(\mathcal{P}^T f) < N - 1. \quad (10)$$

If ladder f in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} corresponds to vector $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n-1})$, then in view of Remark 4 it belongs to set $\mathcal{O} = \{\Delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} : |\Delta| < N\}$, which is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional tetrahedron with vertex at 0, the lengths of its edges that depart from 0 being equal to N . It follows from (10) that

$$\mathcal{P}^T \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}. \quad (11)$$

Since \mathcal{P} . is a continuous operator (see Remark 5), and \mathcal{O} is a convex set, we have from (11) and Brauer's stationary-point theorem [2] that a stationary point σ exists for operator \mathcal{P}^T in \mathcal{O} :

$$\mathcal{P}^T \sigma = \sigma. \quad (12)$$

However, an even stronger assertion is also valid.

LEMMA 3. There exists a point $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ that is stationary for operator \mathcal{Q} .:

$$\mathcal{P} \cdot \xi = \xi. \quad (13)$$

Proof. Consider points $\sigma, \mathcal{P} \cdot \sigma, \mathcal{P}^2 \cdot \sigma, \dots, \mathcal{P}^{T-1} \cdot \sigma$ and balls of radius $2N$ whose centers are at these points:

$$B_{2N}(\sigma), B_{2N}(\mathcal{P} \cdot \sigma), \dots, B_{2N}(\mathcal{P}^{T-1} \cdot \sigma).$$

We denote their intersection with set \mathfrak{A} by \mathcal{O} :

$$\mathfrak{A} = B_{2N}(\sigma) \cap B_{2N}(\mathcal{P} \cdot \sigma) \cap \dots \cap B_{2N}(\mathcal{P}^{T-1} \cdot \sigma) \cap \mathcal{O}.$$

1. We have the inclusion $\mathcal{P} \cdot \mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{A}$.

Indeed, if $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, then $\rho(x, \mathcal{P}^k \cdot \sigma) \leq 2N$ ($0 \leq k \leq T-1$) and the Lipschitz condition entails the inequality $\rho(\mathcal{P} \cdot x, \mathcal{P}^{k+1} \cdot \sigma) \leq 2N$, from which we have $\mathcal{P} \cdot x \in \mathfrak{A}$. QED.

2. \mathfrak{A} is a convex set, since $B_{2N}(\mathcal{P}^k \cdot \sigma)$ is convex for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$.

3. $\mathfrak{A} \neq \emptyset$, since $0 \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Items 1-3 and Brauer's theorem imply that there exists a point $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$, where $\mathcal{P} \cdot \xi = \xi$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

Note that vector ξ corresponds to an equivalence class (f_ξ) such that operator \mathcal{P} acts on any ladder $f_\xi \in (f_\xi)$ like some degree of a shift operator. Ladder f will be called intrinsic.

Lemma 4 which follows will play an important part in completing the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 4. There exist(s) not less than one and not more than n classes of equivalent integer-valued ladders $(f_1), \dots, (f_l)$ ($l \leq n$) to which there correspond points $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_l \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ such that $\rho(\xi, \Delta_i) < 1$ for all $i \leq l$ (ξ is a stationary point of operator \mathcal{P} .).

Proof. a) We fix some \mathbb{R} -ladder $f_\xi \in (f_\xi)$; assume that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are its discontinuity points. On a straight line we mark off the points $[x_1], [x_2], \dots, [x_n] \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($[\cdot]$ is the integer part of the number) and set up an integer-valued ladder f_l with discontinuities at these points. \mathbb{Z} -ladder f_l belongs to equivalence class (f_l) , corresponding to vector Δ_1 , where $\rho(\xi, \Delta_1) < 1$. Thus the set of equivalence classes satisfying the conditions of the lemma is not empty.

b) We will show that an arbitrary class (f) of equivalent integer-valued ladders corresponding to vector $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ such that $\rho(\xi, \Delta) < 1$ can be set up by the procedure described in item a).

We take ladder $f \in (f)$ whose discontinuity points y_1, \dots, y_n are integer-valued. Since $\rho(\xi, \Delta) < 1$, there exist ladders $f_\xi^1, f_\xi^n \in (f_\xi)$ such that $f_\xi^n \geq f \geq f_\xi^1$ and the discontinuity points of f_ξ^1 can be obtained by shifting the discontinuity points of f_ξ^n by a number $\rho(\xi, \Delta) < 1$ (see Definition 5). If x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are discontinuity points of f_ξ^1 , then for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq x_i - y_i \leq 1$. But $y_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and therefore $y_i = [x_i]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

c) We will prove that there are not more than n of the desired equivalence classes. Class (f_1) was already set up in a).

We arrange the fractional parts of numbers x_1, \dots, x_n [discontinuity points of the ladder $f_\xi \in (f_\xi)$ selected in item a)] in increasing order: $\{x_{i_1}\} \leq \{x_{i_2}\} \leq \dots \leq \{x_{i_n}\}$, and right $\alpha_k = \{x_{i_k}\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$).

If we perform the procedure of item a) for setting up an integer-valued ladder using \mathbf{R} -ladder $S^{\lambda_0} f_\xi \in (f_\xi)$ as a starting-point, when $\lambda_0 \leq \alpha_1$, then we will clearly obtain a \mathbf{Z} -ladder of class (f_1) as a result.

If, however, we use \mathbf{R} -ladder $S^{\lambda_1} f_\xi \in (f_\xi)$ as a starting-point, where $\alpha_1 < \lambda_1 \leq \alpha_2$, then the resultant integer-valued ladder f_2 , whose discontinuity points are $[x_1 - \lambda_1], [x_2 - \lambda_1], [x_3 - \lambda_1], \dots, [x_n - \lambda_1]$, will in general belong to equivalence class (f_2) , which is not the same as (f_1) . Here class (f_2) corresponds to vector $\Delta_2 \in R_+^{n-1}$ satisfying the condition $\rho(\xi, \Delta_2) < 1$.

We can similarly set up integer-valued ladders $f_3 \in (f_3)$ (ladder f_3 had discontinuities of points $[x_1 - \lambda_2], [x_2 - \lambda_2], \dots, [x_n - \lambda_2]$, where $\alpha_2 < \lambda_2 \leq \alpha_3$), $f_4 \in (f_4)$ (the discontinuity points for f_4 being $[x_1 - \lambda_3], [x_2 - \lambda_3], \dots, [x_n - \lambda_3]$, where $\alpha_3 < \lambda_3 \leq \alpha_4$), etc., where equivalence classes $(f_3), (f_4), (f_5), \dots$ correspond in R_+^{n-1} to vectors $\Delta_3, \Delta_4, \Delta_5, \dots$ such that $\rho(\xi, \Delta_3) < 1, \rho(\xi, \Delta_4) < 1, \rho(\xi, \Delta_5) < 1$, and so forth. But there are not more than n different sets of numbers $[x_1 - \lambda_i], \dots, [x_n - \lambda_i]$, where $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1, \alpha_i < \lambda_i \leq \alpha_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and therefore there are also not more than n different equivalence classes $(f_1), (f_2), \dots, (f_l)$ nor, correspondingly, vectors $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_l$. Here $\rho(\xi, \Delta_i) < 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l$.

It follows from item b) that an arbitrary equivalence class (f) corresponding to vector $\Delta, \rho(\xi, \Delta) < 1$, coincides with one of the already constructed classes (f_i) . This exhausts the set of such classes. We see that it consists of $l \leq n$ elements. Lemma 4 has thus been proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. In addition to stationary point ξ of operator \mathcal{P} . in open ball $B_1(\xi)$ of radius 1 with center at ξ there can be integer-valued points $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_l$ of octant R_+^{n-1} . On the basis of Lemma 4, we have $l \leq n$. Since $\rho(\xi, \Delta_i) < 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$), we have from Lemma 2 that $\rho(\mathcal{P}\xi, \mathcal{P}\Delta_i) < 1$, i.e., $\rho(\mathcal{P}\Delta_i, \xi) < 1$. This yields that $\mathcal{P}\Delta_i \in B_1(\xi)$. Since operator \mathcal{P} on the set of integer-valued ladders acts in the same way as operator P on the set of \mathbf{Z} -ladders - in particular, it again carries them into integer-valued ladders, we see that $\mathcal{P}\Delta_i$ is an integer-valued vector ($i = 1, \dots, l$). Consequently, operator \mathcal{P} . carries set $\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_l\}$ into itself and we can select a nonempty subset $\tau = \{\Delta_{i_1}, \dots, \Delta_{i_m}\}, m \leq l$, such that $\mathcal{P}\tau = \tau$, where $\mathcal{P}\Delta_{i_1} = \Delta_{i_2}, \dots, \mathcal{P}\Delta_{i_m} = \Delta_{i_1}$ (it is natural to call τ the orbit of point ξ). From this we have $\mathcal{P}^m \Delta_{i_1} = \Delta_{i_1}$, and if point Δ_{i_1} corresponds to equivalence class (f_{i_1}) , then the period for an arbitrary ladder $f_{i_1} \in (f_{i_1})$ is $m, m \leq n$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 6. Point ξ can have more than one orbit.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

1. Preliminary Lemma

LEMMA 5. If f is a \mathbf{Z} -jump of type $(0, n)$, then for all $t \geq 0$ we have $d(P^t f) \leq nD$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3 that for some real β and an arbitrary \mathbf{R} -ladder $f \in (f_\xi)$ (ξ being a stationary point of \mathcal{P} .) we have

$$\mathcal{P}f_\xi = S^\beta f_\xi. \quad (14)$$

We will show that

$$d(f_\xi) \leq nD. \quad (15)$$

Assume the contrary; let $d(f_\xi) > nD$. Then for \mathbf{R} -ladder f_ξ the length of some file $[x_1, x_2]$ of level a is strictly greater than $D: x_2 - x_1 > D$. Note that point x_i does not lie in a neighborhood (of diameter D) of point x_j ($i = 1, 2; j = 2, 1; i \neq j$), and therefore the coordinates of points x_1 and x_2 vary independently under the action \mathcal{P} . Therefore if we consider ladder \hat{f}_ξ for which all files except the level- a file are the same as those of f_ξ , while the length of the level- a file is equal to kD , where k is an arbitrary natural number, we will have

$$\mathcal{P}\hat{f}_\xi = S^\beta \hat{f}_\xi. \quad (16)$$

Consequently, for sufficiently large k and all t the number $d(\mathcal{P}^t \hat{f}_\xi)$ will exceed the coupling constant for the pair $(0, n)$, and therefore $(0, n)$ is an uncoupled pair. Thus we arrive at a contradiction.

Hence inequality (15) holds. For Z-jump f we can choose an R-ladder $f_{\xi} \in (f_{\xi})$ such that we have

$$f_{\xi} > f > S^{d(f_{\xi})} f_{\xi}. \quad (17)$$

Then the monotonicity of \mathcal{P} yields that for all $t \geq 0$, $\mathcal{P}^t f_{\xi} > P^t f > S^{d(f_{\xi})} \mathcal{P}^t f_{\xi}$.

Taking account of (14), we obtain $S^{t\beta} f_{\xi} > P^t f > S^{t\beta+d(f_{\xi})} f_{\xi}$, from which $d(P^t f) \leq (t\beta + d(f_{\xi})) - t\beta = d(f_{\xi}) \leq nD$. QED.

2. Procedure for Computing Rate $v_{0,n}$

Consider a jump f of type $(0, n)$ at zero. We apply operator P to it n^3D times and determine the left and right coordinates of ladder $P^{n^3D} f$. We write

$$x_l = x_l(P^{n^3D} f), \quad x_r = x_r(P^{n^3D} f).$$

Then we take a fraction p/q satisfying the following two inequalities:

$$q \leq n \quad (18)$$

(if $p/q < 0$, we set $p < 0$, $q > 0$),

$$x_l/n^3D \leq p/q \leq x_r/n^3D. \quad (19)$$

We assert that

(α): this fraction is unique and

(β): $v_{0,n} = p/q$.

3. Proof of Assertions (α) and (β)

As Theorem 1 indicates, the set of permissible values of $v_{0,n}$ consists of all fractions whose denominators do not exceed n .

For the absolute value of the difference of two unequal permissible rate values $v_1 = p_1/q_1$ and $v_2 = p_2/q_2$ we have the following lower bound:

$$|v_1 - v_2| = |p_1q_2 - p_2q_1|/q_1q_2 \geq 1/n^2. \quad (20)$$

Therefore an interval of length $1/n^2$ cannot contain more than one permissible value of $v_{0,n}$.

Consider a jump f of type $(0, n)$ at zero. Assume that we have applied operator P to it t times. Then

$$x_l(P^t f)/t \leq v_{0,n} \leq x_r(P^t f)/t. \quad (21)$$

Lemma 5 yields that $(x_r(P^t f)/t) - (x_l(P^t f)/t) \leq nD/t$.

Thus formula (21) shows that $v_{0,n}$ lies in an interval of length nD/t ; but a maximum of one permissible $v_{0,n}$ value can be contained in an interval of length $1/n^2$. Consequently, it suffices to take t to be such that we have $nD/t \leq 1/n^2$, e.g., to set $t = n^3D$, and then on the interval $(x_l(P^{n^3D} f)/n^3D, x_r(P^{n^3D} f)/n^3D)$ to choose a fraction p/q whose denominator does not exceed n .

In accordance with the above, this fraction is unique and equal to $v_{0,n}$. This completes the proof.

4. Estimation of the Number of Operations

Let us determine the number of operations needed to determine the rate $v_{0,n}$ by the method described in Sec. 2. An operation will be understood to be a single-shot computation of the function φ that determines the operator P .

Since Lemma 5 yields that for all t we have $d(P^t f) \leq nD$, a single-shot application of operator P to ladder f corresponds to not more than nD operations needed to determine the resultant ladder Pf .

To determine $v_{0,n}$, operator P was applied $t = n^3D$ times to a jump of type $(0, n)$ at zero. Consequently, the number of operations needed to compute $v_{0,n}$ is bounded from above by the number

$$\asymp nD \cdot t = n^4D^2. \quad (22)$$

(We have ignored actions needed to perform arithmetic operations.)

The proof of Theorem 2 is provided by Paras. 1-4 taken jointly.

We will obtain some results of [1] by using the techniques developed in Sec. 1. No constraints will be placed on pair $(0, n)$; it can even be uncoupled.

In metric space $(\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}, \rho)$ let us consider cube \mathcal{X} with edge $2D$, one of whose vertices is the point 0 , while the edges that originate from 0 are located on the positive semiaxes of the coordinate system.

In addition to operator \mathcal{P} , that acts in this space let us define operator \mathcal{Q} . (which acts in the same fashion) as follows: If $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n-1})$, then we set

$$\mathcal{Q}(\Delta) = (\min(\Delta_1, 2D), \dots, \min(\Delta_{n-1}, 2D)). \quad (23)$$

Operator \mathcal{Q} is continuous, and therefore $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}$ is also a continuous operator and carries \mathcal{X} into itself. On the basis of Brauer's theorem, there exists in \mathcal{X} a stationary point ω for $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}$:

$$\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}\omega = \omega. \quad (24)$$

Assume that vector ω corresponds to ladder g . We apply to ladder $\mathcal{P}g$ the operator \mathcal{Q} induced by \mathcal{Q} . Here \mathcal{Q} leaves all file lengths of $\mathcal{P}g$ that are less than $2D$ unaltered, and makes all the remaining ones equal to $2D$. We have from (24), however, that we obtain the original ladder g as a result. Therefore we have the following.

Proposition 1. Ladder g corresponding to vector ω defined by Eq. (24) is transformed as follows as a result of operator \mathcal{P} : For all t the lengths of certain files of $\mathcal{P}^t g$ remain unaltered, while the lengths of all remaining files increase linearly with respect to t .

We can readily obtain (and even somewhat strengthen) the assertions of items β) and δ) of Lemma 5 in [1] on the basis of Proposition 1. Let us formulate them again.

Proposition 2. β) Assume that f is a jump of type $(0, n)$ at zero. Then for all t , to within $O(1)$, the left and right coordinates of ladder $\mathcal{P}^t f$ are given by the following formulas:

$$x_1(\mathcal{P}^t f) = L_{0,n}t + O(1), \quad (25)$$

$$x_r(\mathcal{P}^t f) = R_{0,n}t + O(1), \quad (26)$$

where $|O(1)| \leq nD$.

δ) There exists a unique sequence $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_m < n$, $m \geq 0$, such that pairs $(0, c_1)$, (c_1, c_2) , \dots , (c_m, n) are coupled and $L_{0,n} = v_0, c_1 \leq v_{c_1, c_2} \leq \dots \leq v_{c_m, n} = R_{0,n}$, the numbers c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m being uniquely defined by operator \mathcal{P} and corresponding to those levels of ladder g whose files increase linearly as a result of the action of \mathcal{P}^t .

The proof of β) can be obtained by repeated use of assertion 1 and Lemma 5; to prove δ), we must majorize and minorize $\mathcal{P}^t f$ by means of shifts of ladder g .

One final remark: All the results of [1] and of this paper remain valid and can be transferred almost verbatim to the case of a continuous argument (something that was partially utilized in this paper). In particular, Theorem 2 of [1] is valid, and we can take the number nD to be the constant \mathcal{X} , in its formulation.

The author is grateful to A. L. Toom for his valuable advice in writing this paper.

LITERATURE CITED

1. G. A. Gal'perin, "One-dimensional automaton networks with monotonic local interaction," Probl. Pere-dachi Inform., 12, No. 4, 74-87 (1976).
2. A. N. Kolmogorov and S. V. Fomin, Elements of the Theory of Functions and Functional Analysis [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1972).